TRENDS

The lpr and gld genes in systemic autoimmunity: life and death in the Fas lane

Philip L. Cohen and Robert A. Eisenberg

Mice homozygous for Ipr (lymphoproliferation) develop a remarkable degree of lymphoid enlargement and progressive systemic lupuserythematosus-like autoantibody formation. In the prototype MRU Mp-lpr/lpr strain, mice also develop vasculitis and immune complex glomerulonephritis, and half are dead by about five months. Lymphoproliferation, autoantibody production, and disease expression vary considerably in mice with other background genes. Lprq, an independent mutation which is allelic to Ipr, results in a phenotype indistinguishable from that caused by Ipr. Mice homozygous for the generalized lymphoproliferative disease (gld), develop an identical illness, yet Ipr and gld are non-allelic. Most of the immunological studies discussed below have only been done in lpr, but when they have been extended to gld, parallel results have been found, except with regard to the interaction of autoimmune (lpr, gld) and normal (±) cells in chimeras.

The lpr phenotype

The material on this page was copied from the collection of the National Library of Medicine by a third party and may be protected by U.S. Copyright law

The lymphoid enlargement in both strains is due to the massive accumulation of T cells. Despite lacking both CD4 and CD8, these cells bear certain markers generally found on activated T cells or on B cells, but do not express IL-2 receptors. They do express low levels of a polyclonal T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire. Despite their activated appearance and phenotype, they are refractory to activation by antibodies to the TCR or by mitogens, and they are generally devoid of demonstrable function. Their relationship to autoantibody formation is indirect, and the two phenomena can be separated under certain experimental conditions. In

The single gene lpr and gld models of spontaneous systemic autoimmunity have attracted much attention in recent years. Here, Philip Cohen and Robert Eisenberg describe the fascinating recent findings that the lpt and Fas phenotypes result from defects in the Fas gene and, perhaps, in the ligand for fas, respectively.

addition to the dramatic T-cell abnormalities evident in lpr mice, recent studies have shown that the B cells are also abnormal and that, in the presence of Ipr T cells in an lpr environment, only lpr B cells can produce autoantibodies2.3. Abnormalities of other cells of hematopoietic origin have also been described in lpr animals. Irradiation, followed by reconstitution with wild-type marrow, results in a normal phenotype.

lpr and fas

Until recently, the function of lpr has been obscure. The identification of Ipr as the Fas gene, the product of which mediates a pathway for apoptosis, offers new insight into the mechanism of lpr autoimmunity, and underscores the relationship between apoptosis and autoimmunity also observed

in the Bcl-2 system4.

Yonehara and colleagues observed that the binding of a monoclonal antibody to a human cell surface determinant, which they termed Fas, causes programmed cell death³. This ~35 kDa protein has a cytoplasmic anchor and is expressed in both lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues. It has structural homology to the receptor for tumor necrosis factor (TNF), to the low-affinity receptor for nerve growth factor, and to the B-cell surface marker CD40 (Ref. 6). It is identical to APO-1, which is recog-

nized by a monoclonal antibody made by another group?. The murine Fas gene was cloned and localized to chromosome 19 (Ref. 8). The demonstration by classical genetics that lpr mapped to the same area of this chromosome led to the successful test of the hypothesis that lpr represented a defect in the Fas-encoding gene^{9,15}. Lpr mice probably have a genomic deletion of part of the Fas gene, and fail to produce a functional product. The molecular basis of the lpr Fas defect appears to be that multiple improperly spliced mRNAs are produced (M.F. Seldin, pers. commun.). As most of these fail to code for protein, there is, presumably, an absence of surface Fas. In contrast, mice with the allelic lpr's. defect have a single base substitution, resulting in an amino acid substitution in the intracytoplasmic region. This alteration results in a failure to transmit the transmembrane signal for apoptosis.

The finding that lpr mice are deficient in a form of apoptosis provides a framework for understanding their defect, and a basis for further experimentation. The lack of a functional Fas cannot preclude other forms of apoptosis, as Ipr mice are not notable for generdevelopmental Rather, the Ipr defects are mainly manifest in the function of the immune system, over a period of months. One possible site of action of Fas is in thymic negative selection. For lpr, however, the expected deletion of superantigen-reactive T cells proceeds normally, even amongst the abnormal CD4-CD8cells16,11. Furthermore, Ipr lymphocyte blasts are normally susceptible to apoptosis mediated by corticosteroids, by superantigens, or by killer T cells (P.L. Cohen, D. Leslie,

O 1992, Elsewer Science Publishers Ltd, UK, 9167-4919/92/\$01.00

Immunology Today 427 Vol. 13 No. 11 1992

TRENDS

The lpr and gld genes in systemic autoimmunity: life and death in the Fas lane

Philip L. Cohen and Robert A. Eisenberg

Mice homozygous for Ipr (lymphoproliferation) develop a remarkable degree of lymphoid enlargement and progressive systemic lupuserythematosus-like autoantibody formation. In the prototype MRL/ Mp-lpr/lpr strain, mice also develop vasculitis and immune complex glomerulonephritis, and half are dead by about five months. Lymphoproliferation, autoantibody production, and disease expression vary considerably in mice with other background genes. Lpr9, an independent mutation which is allelic to Ipr, results in a phenotype indistinguishable from that caused by tor. Mice homozygous for the generalized lymphoproliferative disease (gld), develop an identical illness, yet lpr and gld are nonallelic. Most of the immunological studies discussed below have only been done in lpr, but when they have been extended to gld, parallel results have been found, except with regard to the interaction of autoimmune (lpr, gld) and normal (±) cells in chimeras.

The material on this page was copied from the collection of the National Library of Medicine by a third party and may be protected by U.S. Copyright law

The lpr phenotype
The lymphoid enlargement in both strains is due to the massive accumulation of F cells. Despite lacking both CD4 and CD8, these cells bear certain markers generally found on activated T cells or on B cells, but do not express IL-2 receptors. They do express low levels of a polyclonal T-cell receptor (TCR) repertoire. Despite their activated appearance and phenotype, they are refractory to activation by antibodies to the TCR or by mitogens, and they are generally devoid of demonstrable function. Their relationship to autoantibody formation is indirect, and the two phenomena can be separated under certain experimental conditions. In

The single gene lpc and gld models of spontaneous systemic autoimmunity have attracted much attention in recent years. Here, Philip Cohen and Robert Eisenberg describe the fascinating recent findings that the lpt and Fas phenotypes result from defects in the Fas gene and, perhaps, in the ligand for fas, respectively.

addition to the dramatic T-cell abnormalities evident in lpr mice, recent studies have shown that the B cells are also abnormal and that, in the presence of Ipr T cells in an lor environment, only lpr B cells can produce autoantibodies13. Abnormalities of other cells of hematopoietic origin have also been described in lpr animals. Irradiation, followed by reconstitu-tion with wild-type marrow, results in a normal phenotype.

Until recently, the function of lpr has been obscure. The identification of Ipr as the Fas gene, the product of which mediates a pathway for apoptosis, offers new insight into the mechanism of lpr autoimmunity, and underscores the relationship between apoptosis and autoimmunity also observed in the Bcl-2 system4.

Yonehara and colleagues observed that the binding of a monoclonal antibody to a human cell surface determinant, which they termed Fas, causes programmed cell death⁵. This -35 kDa protein has a cytoplasmic anchor and is expressed in both lymphoid and non-lymphoid tissues. It has structural homology to the receptor for tumor necrosis factor (TNF), to the low-affinity receptor for nerve growth factor, and to the B-cell surface marker CD40 (Ref. 6). It is identical to APO-1, which is recog-

nized by a monoclonal antibody made by another group?. The murine Fas gene was cloned and localized to chromosome 19 (Ref. 8). The demonstration by classical genetics that lpr mapped to the same area of this chromosome led to the successful test of the hypothesis that lpr represented a defect in the Fas-encoding gene^{9,15}. Lpr mice probably have a genomic deletion of part of the Fas gene, and fail to produce a functional product. The molecular basis of the lpr Fas defect appears to be that multiple improperly spliced mRNAs are produced (M.F. Seldin, pers. commun.). As most of these fail to code for protein, there is, presumably, an absence of surface Fas. In contrast, mice with the allelic lpre defect have a single base substitution, resulting in an amino acid substitution in the intracytoplasmic region. This alteration results in a failure to transmit the transmembrane signal for apoptosis.

The finding that lpr mice are deficient in a form of apoptosis provides a framework for understanding their defect, and a basis for further experimentation. The lack of a functional Fas cannot preclude other forms of apoptosis, as lpr mice are not notable for generalized developmental defects. Rather, the Ipr defects are mainly manifest in the function of the immune system, over a period of months. One possible site of action of Fas is in thymic negative selection. For lpr, however, the expected deletion of superantigen-reactive T cells proceeds normally, even amongst the abnormal CD4 CD8 cells will. Furthermore, Ipr lymphocyte blasts are normally susceptible to apoptosis mediated by corticosteroids, by superantigens, or by killer T cells (P.L. Cohen, D. Leslie,

O 1972, Elever Science Publishers Ltd, UK. 9167-491919/9501.00

Immunology Today 427 Vol. 13 No. 11 1992

Ruben EXHIBIT 2117 Ruben v. Wiley et al. Interference No. 105,077 RX 2117

TRENDS

R. Rapoport and R.A. Eisenberg,

unpublished).

Further insights into the mechanism of Fas action come from analysis of the T-cell repertoire in lpr mice. Thymectomy at one month of age not only fails to retard the development of lymphadenopathy and autoimmune disease; it also fails to alter the V repertoire of single positive and double negative T cells four months later. This implies that the thymus is not an important site of Fas action (L. Herron, V. Kakkanaiah, P.L. Cohen, R.A. Eisenberg and B.L. Kotzin, unpublished).

The double-negative T cells in lpr mice appear to have descended from single-positive T cells, and their V_B repertoire implies that CD8 cells are the predominant ancestors (L. Herron et al.). It is likely that the failure to express a normal form of Fas leads to a defect in peripheral tolerance. Although much remains to be learned about Fas, it is possible that this surface receptor serves to eliminate self-reactive lymphoid cells as well as excess cells activated in the course of a normal immune response. The rise in Fas expression in individual T cells coincident with their activation is consistent with this latter notion12. In Ipr mice, the absence of a functional Fas may thus lead to the gradual accumulation of lymphocytes that are normally deleted as a consequence of self tolerance and immunoregu-. lation. For T cells, the downregulation of the TCR and of surface CD4 and CD8 may represent a secondary mechanism whereby these cells are inactivated, similar to what has been seen in transgenic mice with autoreactive TCRs13. A delay or inefficiency in this secondary mechanism might allow for sufficient aberrant autoantigenreactive T cells to promote autoimmune disease. The preponderance of double-negative T cells of CD8 origin may reflect the greater need to downregulate potentially autoreactive CD8* than CD4* cells, as the former are potentially more dangerous, or it may relate to the greater importance of peripheral tolerance towards MHC class Irestricted molecules not expressed

The material ort this page was copied from the collection of the National Library of Medicine by a third party, and may be protected by U.S. Copyright law.

in the thymus. At the B-cell level, too, it is likely that Fas is important for peripheral tolerance. In Ipr mice, the deficiency in Fas may lead to the emergence of self-reactive Bcell populations which are deleted in normal individuals.

The Fas ligand

The nature of the normal ligand for Fas is of critical importance in understanding the lpr mutation. The similarity of the gld-induced disease has led to speculation that the gld defect may represent a lack of functional ligand for the lprencoded receptor molecule. Fasmediated signalling would, therefore, not occur, much as in lpr homozygotes. If this were the case, it would be expected that, in chimeric animals with both gld and normally lymphoid cells, the normal cells could supply the Fas ligand missing from gld cells and correct their disorder. Preliminary data suggest that this is indeed the case. Lethally irradiated gld mice reconstituted with equal numbers of normal and gld bone marrow cells show no evidence of excess polyclonal activation of gld-derived donor B cells14. These results contrast strongly with those from parallel experiments with lpr mice2, and indicate an extrinsic defect in gld B cells, which may reflect the lack of a functional Fas ligand.

It appears that Fas, and potentially other molecules mediating apoptosis, have an intriguing role in the establishment and perpetuation of tolerance. It is clear that additional studies of the relationship between apoptosis and tolerance will yield insights into both basic immunology and autoimmunity.

Philip Cohen and Robert Eisenberg are at the Depts of Medicine and Microbiology/Immunology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7280, USA.

References 1 Cohen, P.L. and Eisenberg, R.A. (1991) Annu. Rev. Immunol. 9, 243-269 2 Sobel, E.S., Katagiri, T., Katagiri, K. et al. (1991) J. Exp. Med. 173, 1441-1449 3 Perkins, D.L., Glaser, R.M., Mahon, C.A. et al. (1990) J. Immunol. 145, 549-555 4 Strasser, A., Whittingham, S., Vaux, D.L. et al. (1991) Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 88, 8661-8665 5 Yonehara, S., Ishii, A. and Yonehara, M. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 169, 1747-1756 6 Itoh, N., Yonehara, S., Ishii, A. et al. (1991) Cell 66, 233-243 7 Oehm, A., Behrmann, I., Falk, W. et al. (1992) J. Biol. Chem. 267, 10709-10715 8 Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Brannan, C.L, Itoh, N. et al. (1992) J. Immunol. 148, 1274-1279 9 Watanabe-Fukunaga, R., Brannan, C.I., Copeland, N.G., Jenkins, N.A. and Nagata, S. (1992) Nature 356, 314-317 10 Kotzin, B.L., Babcock, S.K. and Herron, L.R. (1988) J. Exp. Med. 168, 2221-2229 11 Singer, P.A., Balderas, R.S., McEvilly, R.J. et al. (1989) J. Exp. Med. 170, 1869–1877 12 Owen-Schaub, L.B., Yonehara, S., Crump, W.L., III and Grimm, E.A. (1992) Cell. Immunol. 140, 197-205 13 Schönrich, G., Kalinke, U. Momburg, F. et al. (1991) Cell 65, 293-304 14 Sobel, E.S., Cohen, P.L. and Eisenberg, R.A. Arthritis Rheum. (Abstr.) (in press) 15 Allen, R.D., Marshall, J.D., Roths, J.B. and Sidman, C.I. (1990) J. Exp. Med. 172, 1367-1375

In the December issue of Immunology Today:

- The interplay between microbes and hosts
- Signalling in T cells
- The immunology of asthma
- An unusual class II molecule
- GM-CSF, IL-3 and IL-5 cross-competition
- Immunoreactivity in the brain